


*The 2001 Texas Liability Insurance
Closed Claim Annual Report*



Texas Department of Insurance

**Published by Texas Department of Insurance on June 12, 2003
Property and Casualty Division/Data Services
333 Guadalupe, Mail Code 105-5D, Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 475-1878**

Table of Contents

BACKGROUND	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
PAYMENTS AND EXPENSES	4
INSURANCE POLICY DATA.....	8
BODILY INJURY	12
CLAIMS PROCESS	16
COMPARATIVE ANALYSES	21
ANNUAL (SUMMARY) CLOSED CLAIM REPORT	25
CLOSED CLAIM RECONCILIATION FORM	26
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	29

Background

This is the fourteenth Texas Liability Insurance Closed Claim Annual Report prepared by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) in accordance with the provisions of §§38.159 - 38.163, Texas Insurance Code. The legislative history of this statute indicates that there was an absence of reliable information concerning liability insurance claims, related court actions and other information pertinent to the claims settlement process and the civil justice system in Texas. The reporting requirements contained in this statute provide TDI with the authority to gather liability claims information and the responsibility of compiling the data and issuing an annual report. Following the statutory distinctions, the State Board of Insurance adopted two separate forms; the short form relating to liability claims closed with bodily injury indemnity settlements over \$10,000 but less than \$25,000; and the long form which relates to those claims with settlements of \$25,000 or more.

TDI collects the forms on a quarterly basis and reviews the forms manually and electronically to monitor data quality. Insurers submitted over 9,000 reports for claims that closed in 2001. These claim reports account for over \$1.5 billion in paid settlements.

Introduction

The data utilized in the preparation of this report include 9,028 commercial liability closed claims involving bodily injury settled under Texas law that were submitted for calendar year 2001 on the Quarterly Closed Claim Reports for the following lines of insurance:

- ◆ General Liability
- ◆ Medical Professional Liability
- ◆ Other Professional Liability
- ◆ Commercial Automobile Liability
- ◆ The Liability Portion of Commercial Multi-peril Insurance

The 2001 quarterly closed claim database includes claims from 351 insurance companies and 11 self-insurers. Claims included in the database have been settled or otherwise disposed of, and the insurer has made all indemnity and expense payments on the claim. These reports do not include property damage, open claims, or claims not settled under Texas law.

Payment amount in this report refers to the amount paid by the primary carrier on line 12 A.1 of the quarterly closed claim reports. Settlement amount refers to the amount paid by all parties on line 12 A.7 of the quarterly closed claim reports. The settlement amount may include payments from other insurers, the insured, excess carriers and other defendants that may not submit closed claims due to the reporting requirements.

Of the 9,028 forms available for the preparation of this report, 3,819 were short forms that are defined as claims with bodily injury settlements of greater than \$10,000 but less than \$25,000. Long forms accounted for the other 5,209 claims and include bodily injury settlements of \$25,000 or more. Although they account for 42% of all reports, only 6% of the total payments were reported on the short forms as illustrated in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1
SHORT FORM VS. LONG FORM



The report differentiated between the single defendant cases (single-party) and the more complex cases that involved multiple defendants (multi-party). Single-party claims involve only payments by the reporting insurer as primary carrier and/or payments by the insured for deductibles or payments in excess of policy limits. Multi-party claims which involve payments by excess carriers or amounts paid on behalf of other defendants, account for 19% of the 2001 reports and 56% of the settlement dollars as shown in Figure 2.

Carriers report the amount they paid on a claim as well as the total known settlement amount paid to the claimant. The total settlement amount may include payments from other insurers, the insured, excess carriers and other defendants. Although single-party claims make up the majority of all claim reports, the majority of settlement dollars come from multi-party claims.

FIGURE 2
SINGLE-PARTY VS. MULTI-PARTY



Payments and Expenses

A total of \$1,568,410,293 in settlements from 9,028 closed claim forms are included in this report as shown in the last line of Figure 3. One should keep in mind that the total settlement amount might be incomplete. Reports indicating involvement of other contributing parties may not have included the other contributing parties' payments in the total settlement amount.

It is also possible for a closed claim report to indicate payment by more than one contributing party; therefore, the number of claims shown on the "Total settlement" row may not equal the sum for each contributing party.

FIGURE 3

2001 CLOSED CLAIM DATA BASE SUMMARY

Contributing Parties	Claims	Amount Paid
Primary carrier	8,719	\$975,594,913
Insured due to deductible	620	\$30,888,424
Insured in excess of policy limits	17	\$2,891,994
Excess carrier	127	\$142,672,671
Other insured defendants	888	\$408,688,006
Other uninsured defendants	74	\$7,674,285
Total settlement	9,028	\$1,568,410,293

Figure 4 shows the average payment amount for all claims was \$108,063. The single-party average claim payment was \$80,159 as compared to the multi-party average claim payment of \$228,346.

FIGURE 4

THE AVERAGE 2001 COMMERCIAL LIABILITY CLAIM SINGLE-PARTY VS. MULTI-PARTY

Average	Single-Party	Multi-Party	All Forms
Payment Amount	\$80,159	\$228,346	\$108,063
Final Indemnity Reserve	\$91,833	\$274,587	\$126,246
Allocated Loss Adjustment Expense	\$16,957	\$81,031	\$29,023
Final Expense Reserve	\$8,573	\$42,035	\$14,874
Claim Duration (Months)	20.0	26.1	21.2

It appears that the majority of payments paid by the primary carrier occur in a small number of claims. Although claims with payments greater than \$150,000 comprise only 18% of claims reported, they account for over 75% of the total dollar amount of payments reported in the study. Claim payments of \$1,000,000 and over are usually multi-party claims. These ranges are shown in Figure 5 below.


FIGURE 5
NUMBER OF PAYMENTS
BY PAYMENT RANGE

Payment Range	Single-Party	Multi-Party	Total
\$10,000 and less	313	63	376
\$10,001 - \$24,999	3,525	212	3,737
\$25,000 - \$74,999	1,868	477	2,345
\$75,000 - \$149,999	656	292	948
\$150,000 - \$299,999	491	288	779
\$300,000 - \$499,999	218	142	360
\$500,000 - \$999,999	199	153	352
\$1,000,000 and over	58	73	131
All Payments	7,328	1,700	9,028

If the settlement was \$25,000 or greater, adjusters were asked for their opinions as to whether the settlement was influenced by non-economic losses, exemplary damages or prejudgment interest. For the 1,411 long forms that indicated the categories of non-economic losses, exemplary damages or prejudgment interest influenced the settlement of the claim, 30% of the damages were designated to economic losses, 62% to non-economic losses, 6% to exemplary damages, and 2% to prejudgment interest. These percentages are displayed in Figure 6.

On the short forms, adjusters were asked for their opinions as to whether the potential for the assessment of exemplary damages influenced settlement amounts. They were asked to allocate damages into categories when exemplary damages influenced the settlement. These categories are (1) economic losses; (2) non-economic losses; (3) exemplary damages; and (4) prejudgment interest. In the adjusters' opinions, there were 225 settlements of less than \$25,000 that were influenced by exemplary damages. The percentages by categories are also shown in Figure 6.

FIGURE 6
DAMAGES
INFLUENCED BY EXEMPLARY DAMAGES



The available data from 5,209 long forms indicates the use of structured settlements in the final resolution of 408 indemnity claims (9%). These structured settlements amounted to \$289,125,256 with an average settlement amount of \$708,640 and a median settlement amount of \$300,000. In these 408 cases, the immediate payment was on average 65% of the total settlement amount, while the structured portion was 35% of the total settlement amount.

Of the 5,209 long forms, 2,192 (42%) indicated that they were aware of the availability of some collateral sources of reimbursements to the injured person. Since claimants are not required to make this information available to insurers, this data may not have been reported in all cases where collateral sources were available. Figure 7 shows the collateral sources reported.

FIGURE 7
COLLATERAL SOURCES

Collateral Sources	Number of Forms
Workers' Compensation	839
Medical Insurance	964
Disability Insurance	71
Social Security Benefits	71
Medicare, Medicaid	420
Sick Leave	66
Other	71

Insurers were asked on all forms to divide their loss adjustment expenses into three categories: (1) outside defense counsel; (2) in-house defense counsel; (3) other expenses. A total of 3,164 forms indicate no allocated loss adjustment expense for the claim reported.


One of the three categories of expense was shown on the other 5,864 reports. Figure 8 shows the relationship of loss adjustment expenses to claim payments. The ALAE to payment ratio increased as average payment increased. Since cost containment expenses are not reported on the closed claim forms, the data in this section understates the amount of expenses incurred while settling the claim.

FIGURE 8
RATIO OF ALAE TO CLAIM PAYMENT
BY ALAE RANGE

ALAE Range	Claims	Average Payment	ALAE/Payment Ratio
\$0	3,164	\$40,976	0.000
\$1 - \$ 2,999	1,340	\$42,992	0.026
\$3,000 - \$ 5,999	595	\$63,136	0.071
\$6,000 - \$ 9,999	647	\$75,217	0.105
\$10,000 - \$24,999	1,377	\$108,915	0.153
\$25,000 - \$49,999	895	\$196,303	0.181
\$50,000 and over	1,010	\$372,712	0.321
Total	9,028	\$108,063	0.190

The data from all forms indicates that the average allocated loss adjustment expense was 19.0% of the insurer's payment. Figure 9 compares the ALAE/Payment ratio by line of insurance. Claims without allocated adjustment expenses are included. The ratio for all lines of 0.190 is shown by a dotted line for comparison purposes.

FIGURE 9
ALAE/PAYMENT RATIO
BY LINE OF INSURANCE




Insurance Policy Data

Commercial auto liability claims represent 57% of the closed claim reports filed and 39% of the insurer payments. General liability claims represent 16% of the closed claim reports filed and 17% of the insurer payments. Claims related to the liability portion on commercial multi-peril policies represent 12% of the reports filed and 10% of the insurer payments. Medical professional liability claims represent 14% of the reports filed and 33% of the insurer payments. Other professional liability claims represent 1% of the reports filed and 1% of the insurer payments. Only 83 cases involve other professional liability; therefore, the data relating to that line is highly variable and is treated accordingly. Figure 10 illustrates the comparative relationship between numbers of claims and amounts of claim payments among the five lines of insurance.

FIGURE 10


DISTRIBUTION OF CLAIMS AND CLAIM PAYMENTS BY LINE



Based on all forms from all lines, the average insurer payment is \$108,063. Claims involving medical professional liability reflect the highest average with an average payment of \$263,637. Commercial auto claims average \$74,105, considerably below the average. These comparisons are illustrated in Figure 11. Note that these averages do not include settlements of \$10,000 or less.

FIGURE 11

AVERAGE PAYMENT ALL LINES COMPARISON



Among the major insured business classifications reviewed, claims were most frequently cited in wholesale-retail trade, transportation, other (miscellaneous), construction firms, and physicians and surgeons. Twenty-six business classifications are included on the closed claim forms. The twelve business classifications listed in Figure 12 account for nearly 95% of the total reported claims and nearly 93% of the total payments reported for calendar year 2001.

FIGURE 12

**FREQUENCY OF CLAIMS
BY BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION**





Figure 13 compares the average payment for each of the twelve major business classifications shown in Figure 12. The all lines average payment amount of \$108,063 is represented by a dotted line for comparison purposes. Hospitals had an average claim payment of \$335,306, the highest of the major classifications.

FIGURE 13

**MAJOR BUSINESS CLASSIFICATIONS
AVERAGE CLAIM PAYMENT**



A comparison of final demand or payment to the policy limit may be misleading due to the presence of multiple claimants and/or property damage in an occurrence. However, a minimum number of injuries affected by impaired or exhausted policy limits can be determined from the closed claim forms.

Figure 14 shows 404 claims (5%) were affected by impaired or exhausted policy limits while 52 (13%) of those claims involved excess carriers. The most frequent policy limit was \$1,000,000 for each line of insurance.

FIGURE 14


**IMPAIRED OR EXHAUSTED POLICY LIMITS
BY LINE OF INSURANCE**

Line of Insurance	Frequency	Average Payment	Excess Coverage Involved
General Liability	39	\$835,172	24
Commercial Auto	192	\$233,926	15
Commercial Multi-peril	22	\$680,878	6
Medical Professional	151	\$500,993	7
All Lines	404	\$416,125	52

Since some insurers include expense reserves with indemnity reserves, they were combined in this report. The sum of the payment and allocated loss adjustment expenses is designated as an expenditure. Overall, the average initial case basis reserve of \$47,046 was 37% of the average expenditure of \$128,537. Fifty-five percent of the claims were over-reserved by \$249,256,188 (just before the claim closed) while 33% were under-reserved by \$135,648,887. The aggregate data from all forms indicates that expenditures were over-reserved by an average of 10%. A comparison by line of insurance is shown in Figure 15.

FIGURE 15

**ALL LINES
RATIO OF FINAL CASE BASIS RESERVES TO EXPENDITURE
BY EXPENDITURE RANGE**



Bodily Injury

The long form contains information relating to the type of injury, how the injury occurred, and the age of the injured person. Eighteen separate types of injuries are listed on the long form and insurers are instructed to select all applicable types of injuries. Please note that some forms may have incomplete settlement amounts because they are multi-party claims. The data from 5,209 long form settlements indicates that multiple injuries (broken limbs, lacerations, contusions) were the most common type of injury as shown in Figure 16. Insurers were instructed to select all the types of injuries applicable to the claim. Thus, in cases other than death, the same claim may be shown under more than one category of injury.

FIGURE 16
INJURY TYPE
NUMBER OF CLAIMS





Figure 17 shows settlement amounts by injury type. Because of the possibility of duplicate reporting of injuries and the effect a large claim could have on the average claim amount, median settlement figures are used. The most costly claims closed in 2001 involved brain damage injuries.


FIGURE 17
INJURY TYPE
MEDIAN SETTLEMENT AMOUNT



The long form also has 15 categories based upon the activity engaged in at the time of the injury. The ten most frequent activities are shown in Figure 18. The adjusters' opinions here are subjective and may include more than one activity. For example a claim involving a defective automobile may be marked as use of defective product, motor vehicle or both. The operation of a motor vehicle was indicated as the most common injury related activity.

FIGURE 18


**HOW THE INJURY OCCURRED
NUMBER OF CLAIMS**



The age of the injured person at the time of injury was reported only on the long forms. Figure 19 indicates the age distribution. The median age of the injured party was 41 years.

FIGURE 19

**ALL INJURIES
AGE DISTRIBUTION**



There were 8,959 injuries that occurred in Texas and 69 injuries that occurred outside of Texas. Figure 20 shows the Texas counties with 70 or more injuries and shows the ratio of claims to county population. The statewide ratio is 41.92 claims for every 100,000 population.


FIGURE 20**WHERE THE INJURY OCCURRED****COUNTIES WITH 70 OR MORE INJURIES**

County	Number of Claims	Number of Claims/ 100,000 Population	Median Settlement Amount	Maximum Settlement Amount
Harris	1,735	49.80	\$29,845	\$7,000,000
Dallas	1,262	55.69	\$22,500	\$8,795,355
Tarrant	637	42.72	\$28,000	\$4,000,000
Bexar	532	37.48	\$28,000	\$8,625,000
Travis	348	41.14	\$35,000	\$3,430,000
Jefferson	293	117.23	\$32,000	\$4,995,000
Hidalgo	255	43.04	\$24,750	\$9,200,000
El Paso	205	29.73	\$30,000	\$7,600,000
Nueces	201	64.26	\$42,500	\$6,075,000
Collin	118	21.98	\$28,000	\$1,700,000
Denton	114	24.57	\$25,000	\$3,500,000
Lubbock	114	46.40	\$30,000	\$2,100,000
Smith	110	61.79	\$30,000	\$800,000
Cameron	108	31.34	\$30,000	\$5,000,000
Galveston	103	40.38	\$70,000	\$7,125,000
McLennan	87	40.31	\$53,515	\$2,000,000
Montgomery	86	27.49	\$59,725	\$4,500,000
Gregg	80	71.40	\$40,015	\$500,000
Potter	76	66.29	\$39,000	\$1,000,000
Bell	75	31.06	\$33,000	\$1,250,000

Claims Process

As can be seen in Figure 21, only two percent of all reported settlements involved a court verdict. The overwhelming majority of claims were settled without the necessity of a trial. There were court verdicts for 135 injuries reported on 18 short forms and 117 long forms. Since the claim settlement process does not end with the trial court's verdict, the closed claim forms were designed to capture data relating to the court verdict and the final settlement. The other defendants or the excess carrier may have contributed to the settlement without being involved in the court verdict and may have agreed to a settlement before or after a verdict. Since the total settlement amount for multi-party claims may be inaccurate or unknown, the section on court verdicts deals only with the payments of the 125 claims that appear to be complete.


FIGURE 21
STAGES OF THE CLAIMS PROCESS



All closed claims that involved a court verdict required a distribution of the court verdict into four distinct categories of damages. The allocation of the verdict amount into damages is indicated in Figure 22.

FIGURE 22

**COURT VERDICTS
DAMAGES BY CATEGORY**



In reviewing the court verdicts in Figure 23 it was found that, on average, the verdict amount was reduced by 36% before the case was finally settled.

FIGURE 23


COURT VERDICT VS. SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

	Claims	Total Verdict Amount	Total Settlement Amount	Amount of Difference	Percent Difference
Verdict more than settlement	47	\$87,132,779	\$46,194,673	(\$40,938,106)	(47.0%)
Verdict equal to settlement	47	\$7,652,220	\$7,652,220	\$0	0.0%
Verdict less than settlement	31	\$10,666,758	\$13,414,929	\$2,748,171	25.8%
Total	125	\$105,451,757	\$67,261,822	(\$38,189,935)	(36.2%)

The data from all 9,028 reports shows that 93% of all cases indicated the involvement of an attorney on behalf of the injured person (plaintiff), while 60% indicated an attorney for the insurer, and 7% indicated the hiring of an attorney to represent the insured. Figure 24 summarizes the attorney involvement for all policy lines studied except other professional liability.

FIGURE 24

**ATTORNEY INVOLVEMENT
BY LINE**



There were 1,523 multiple-defendant cases that were settled before a court verdict and 43 judgments involving multiple defendants. In 202 (13%) of the 1,523 cases settled prior to a court verdict, the doctrine of joint and several liability impacted the settlement. Six of the 43 judgments involving multiple defendants provided for joint and several liability in regard to any defendant.

Focusing on the doctrine of comparative responsibility, a total of 1,106 cases (21% of all long form settlements) indicate some fault attributable to the injured person. These 1,106 cases had a median settlement amount of \$75,000 (approximately 12% less than the all long forms' median of \$85,000) and, on average, estimated the injured person to be 35% at fault.

Generally, Texas law allows a plaintiff to bring suit in one of several counties (See Chapter 15, *Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code*). Figure 25 presents the relationship of the suits involving choice of venue by line of insurance.

FIGURE 25

**CHOICE OF VENUE
BY LINE OF INSURANCE**

Line of Insurance	Total Number of Suits Filed	Number of Suits Filed in County Other Than Where Injury Occurred	Percent of Total
General Liability	1,055	78	7.4%
Commercial Auto	2,159	179	8.3%
Commercial Multi-peril	769	45	5.9%
Medical Professional	1,092	43	3.9%
Other Professional	65	3	4.6%
All Lines	5,140	348	6.8%

A total of 5,140 forms reported that suits were filed, with 4,792 filed in the county of injury; meanwhile, 348 forms indicated that the suit was filed in a county other than the county where the injury occurred. The median settlement amount in the 4,792 cases was \$60,000, while the median settlement amount in the remaining 348 cases was \$100,000. Figure 26 shows the counties with an influx of five or more lawsuits.

FIGURE 26**CHOICE OF VENUE
COUNTIES THAT GAINED SUITS**

County	Number of Suits Filed	Number of Suits Incoming	Percent of Suits Incoming	Median Settlement Amount of Suits Filed in County Listed
Harris	1,029	62	6.0%	\$63,000
Dallas	585	44	7.5%	\$50,000
Tarrant	336	22	6.5%	\$70,000
Bexar	315	17	5.4%	\$55,000
Nueces	145	13	9.0%	\$87,500
Travis	189	13	6.9%	\$100,000
Galveston	85	11	12.9%	\$99,000
Hidalgo	169	10	5.9%	\$40,000
Jefferson	249	10	4.0%	\$41,000
Cameron	81	7	8.6%	\$50,000
Harrison	30	7	23.3%	\$95,000
Johnson	36	6	16.7%	\$49,389
El Paso	127	6	4.7%	\$55,000
Potter	47	6	12.8%	\$90,000
Morris	11	5	45.5%	\$39,000
Ector	35	5	14.3%	\$85,000
Brazoria	47	5	10.6%	\$100,000
Counties Listed	3,516	249	7.1%	\$60,000
Counties Not Listed	1,624	99	6.1%	\$60,000
All Counties	5,140	348	6.8%	\$60,950

Comparative Analyses

Figure 27 shows the time comparison between single-party and multi-party cases. As expected, the multi-party cases take longer to close than the single-party cases.

FIGURE 27

ALL LINES TIME STUDY BY PARTY (MONTHS)

Category	Number of Claims	Total Payments	Injury to Notice	Notice to Settlement	Settlement to Close	Injury to Close
Single-Party	7,328	\$587,406,471	3.3	20.0	2.1	25.4
Multi-Party	1,700	\$388,188,442	24.0	26.1	5.0	55.0
All Parties	9,028	\$975,594,913	7.2	21.2	2.6	31.0

Figure 28 shows time comparisons among various lines of insurance. The data for other professional liability is included with general liability due to only 83 claims being reported for this line of insurance. Commercial Multiperil cases involve the longest average span of time (50.6 months) from the date of injury to the actual closing of the claim.

FIGURE 28

ALL LINES TIME STUDY BY POLICY TYPE (MONTHS)

	Number of Claims	Total Payments	Injury to Notice	Notice to Settlement	Settlement to Close	Injury to Close
General Liability	1,534	\$175,296,106	11.1	24.6	3.1	38.8
Commercial Auto	5,176	\$383,569,246	0.9	18.8	1.9	21.6
Commercial Multiperil	1,108	\$97,729,039	22.8	22.7	5.0	50.6
Medical Professional	1,210	\$319,000,522	15.1	25.3	2.9	43.4
All Claims	9,028	\$975,594,913	7.2	21.2	2.6	31.0

Figure 29 displays the average times for each injury type. Of the studies based on type of injury, those involving a respiratory condition, on average, took the longest time from injury to close (121.4 months), which is more than three times the average of 35.0 months for all long form claims. The total payments column for all long forms does not equal to the subtotal of the separate injury types because the long form allows for multiple selections of injury type.

FIGURE 29


**ALL LONG FORMS TIME STUDY
BY INJURY TYPE (MONTHS)**

Injury Type	Number of Claims	Total Payments	Injury to Notice	Notice to Settlement	Settlement to Close	Injury to Close
Death	841	\$279,542,987	12.0	23.9	3.4	39.2
Amputation	82	\$16,788,795	10.6	25.4	3.7	39.8
Burns (heat)	79	\$22,730,007	10.2	24.4	3.5	38.1
Burns (chemical)	30	\$9,513,737	6.3	23.0	3.4	32.7
Systemic Poisoning (toxic)	42	\$5,330,792	40.1	30.8	5.8	76.8
Systemic. Poisoning (other)	14	\$1,243,860	6.6	27.6	3.1	37.3
Eye injury (blindness)	64	\$13,943,334	15.8	25.6	2.8	44.1
Respiratory condition	70	\$8,954,691	80.0	27.4	14.1	121.4
Nervous condition	51	\$6,357,430	9.9	25.3	2.0	37.3
Hearing loss/impairment	24	\$11,595,724	7.1	22.3	3.3	32.8
Circulatory condition	44	\$6,912,211	14.1	23.8	1.9	39.8
Multiple injuries	1,701	\$222,608,537	3.1	23.4	2.5	29.0
Back injury	1,622	\$174,022,027	2.6	24.6	2.2	29.3
Skin disorder	41	\$9,367,262	8.5	23.2	1.3	33.1
Brain damage	221	\$98,222,053	11.4	29.0	4.0	44.5
Scarring	182	\$37,513,052	3.8	21.9	3.9	29.5
Spinal cord injuries	87	\$38,480,251	9.4	25.5	3.5	38.3
Other	1,158	\$180,773,932	9.4	24.2	2.5	36.1
All Long Forms	5,209	\$918,994,161	7.6	24.2	2.8	34.7

Injury type is only reported on the long form. There were 841 injuries (16%) reported on the long form that resulted in death. As shown in figure 30, medical professional liability claims account for 51% of the death claims, commercial auto liability for 22%, general liability for 18%, and commercial multi-peril liability for 9%.

FIGURE 30

**DEATH CLAIMS
BY LINE OF INSURANCE**



Of the 5,209 settlements reported on long forms, 961 claims indicated work-related injuries. On a percentage basis, the business classification of manufacturer of chemical and allied products indicated the highest rate of work-related injuries with 68.9% of the claims relating to the job, followed by oil wells & drilling at 44.8% and construction firms with 39.9% of the claims reported as work-related. Figure 31 shows data for the business classifications with more than 20 work-related injuries.

FIGURE 31

**WORK-RELATED INJURIES
BY BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION**

Business Classification	Work-Related Claims	Percent Work-Related	Average Payment	Claim Duration	Injury to Close
Construction firms	238	39.9	\$195,422	25.6	36.7
Transportation	165	19.3	\$171,396	24.6	30.0
Other	143	18.1	\$201,340	23.6	38.1
Wholesale-retail trade	138	16.0	\$154,043	25.1	32.8
Other products mfg.	114	39.2	\$169,944	27.0	54.2
Oil wells & drilling	60	44.8	\$199,849	33.9	117.4
Manuf. chem & allied	31	68.9	\$169,877	39.1	73.3
All Business Classes	961	18.5	\$180,187	26.2	43.9

The 2001 closed claim report data contains 582 claims involving the business classifications of drug manufacturers, manufacturers of chemical and allied products, medical products manufacturers, and other products manufacturers. The average payment for product liability claims of \$119,917 was 11% more than the average of \$108,063 for all lines. The average allocated loss adjustment expense for product liability claims of \$23,544 exceeded the average of \$20,473 for all lines. The claims are categorized in this section as product liability claims, but are not necessarily caused by use of a defective product. Figure 32 presents the average claim information derived from the product liability claims reported.

FIGURE 32

**PRODUCT LIABILITY
AVERAGE CLAIM**

	Payment Amount	Final Indemnity Reserve	ALAE	Final Expense Reserve	Claim Duration (Months)
Product Liability	\$119,917	\$145,474	\$23,544	\$17,174	21.9
All Forms	\$108,063	\$126,246	\$20,473	\$14,874	21.2

Only 168 settlements indicated municipal/public liability as the insured business classification. Data derived from these reports show the average payment amount to be \$60,053, which is 44% less than the average of \$108,063 for all forms. Figure 33 presents the average claim information for municipal/public liability claims reported.

FIGURE 33

**MUNICIPAL/PUBLIC LIABILITY
AVERAGE CLAIMS**

	Payment Amount	Final Indemnity Reserve	ALAE	Final Expense Reserve	Claim Duration (Months)
Municipal Liability	\$60,053	\$68,960	\$11,138	\$10,805	23.2
All Forms	\$108,063	\$126,246	\$20,473	\$14,874	21.2

2001 Calendar Year Annual Aggregate Closed Claim Report

The 2001 Calendar Year Annual Aggregate Closed Claim Report was filed on an annual basis for bodily injury indemnity payments of \$10,000 or less. Three hundred sixty-five insurance companies and ten self-insured entities are included in the Annual Aggregate Closed Claim Database. A summary of the Aggregate Closed Claim Report is presented below.

	(1) Aggregate Number of Claims \$ 0 Indemnity Payments	(2) Aggregate Number of Claims \$1 to \$10,000 Indemnity Payments	(3) Total Number of Claims (1 + 2)	(4) Aggregate Dollar Amount Paid Out
General Liability	41,868	15,231	57,099	\$24,527,778
Other Professional Liability	1,551	157	1,708	\$509,271
Commercial Auto Liability	26,583	30,840	57,423	\$85,244,382
Commercial Multi-peril Liability	11,082	7,271	18,353	\$15,601,173
Medical Professional Liability	7,450	283	7,733	\$1,332,786
Total	88,534	53,782	142,316	\$127,215,390

2001 Closed Claim Reconciliation Form

The Texas Department of Insurance required companies to submit a reconciliation form for calendar year 2001 to ensure that all applicable closed claims were reported. The payments reported on Quarterly Closed Claim Reports with bodily injury over \$10,000 and the Aggregate Closed Claim Reports with bodily injury of \$10,000 or less were compared to the direct losses paid by line of insurance. These losses were reported to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) on the 2001 Annual Statement, Texas Statutory Page 14. **Self-insured entities did not complete a Reconciliation Form and therefore, are not included in this section.**

There were 532 insurance companies that reported a total of \$2,171,552,587 direct losses paid on the 2001 Annual Statement, Texas Statutory Page 14 for the lines of business mentioned in §§38.159 – 38.163, Texas Insurance Code. Forty-six percent (47%) of the direct losses paid for general liability, commercial auto liability, Texas commercial multi-peril liability, and medical professional liability were reported on closed claim reports. The remaining \$1,160,792,087 of direct losses paid involved amounts that were not reported during calendar year 2001 on closed claim reports. The following chart summarizes the information from the reconciliation forms. The data allows a comparison to be made by line of insurance between payment amounts that are required to be reported under §§38.159 – 38.163 of the Texas Insurance Code and payments made during calendar year 2001 that are not reportable on closed claim reports. General Liability and Other Professional Liability are combined on the Reconciliation Form.

Line 1 of the reconciliation form shows the amount of claims reported for each line of insurance on the Closed Claim Report of Accepted Transactions at the beginning of the reconciliation project.

Line 2 of the reconciliation form indicates the amount of claims as shown on the Aggregate Closed Claim Report.

Line 3 is the subtotal of lines 1 and 2 of the closed claim reconciliation form.

Lines 4 and 5 consist of payments that did not involve bodily injury. These payments were mainly for property damage losses, but also included amounts for medical payments, personal injury protection, uninsured and underinsured motorist payments, professional liability, and payments on claims involving mental anguish, improper termination, libel, slander, etc.

Lines 6 and 7 include payments made on open claims that will not be reported until the claim closes and payments made in preceding calendar years.

Line 8 shows the excess payments by line of business as reported on the reconciliation forms. Excess payments on bodily injury are part of the settlement amount of a claim. Many insurance companies do not know the amount paid by an excess carrier and therefore, do not report it correctly in the settlement amount on a closed claim report. This is a major reason for reporting the 2001 Closed Claim Annual Report on a payment basis instead of a settlement basis.

Only claims settled under Texas law are reportable on the Closed Claim Reports. **Line 9** shows direct losses paid by line of insurance on policies written in Texas for claims that were not settled under Texas law. Included for comparison purposes on **Line 10** are the amounts reported on closed claim reports for policies written in another state where the claim was settled under Texas law.

On **Line 12**, the reconciliation form shows \$62,766,531 for total reimbursements received. This was primarily deductibles recovered for liability and property damage claims.

Adjustments made due to company rounding and estimating procedures are shown on **Line 13**.

Line 14 relates primarily to losses from catastrophes and class action suits that involve more than ten claimants.

Line 15 shows the amount of claims that companies were unable to reconcile during the reconciliation process.

Line 16 shows the amount of claims that were on the Closed Claim Report of Unaccepted Transactions at the beginning of the reconciliation process.

Line 17 and 18 are losses moved to or from a Texas closed claim report to another line of insurance or company.

Losses reported on Quarterly Closed Claim reports received during the reconciliation process are shown on **Line 19**.

Lines 20 and 21 indicate the amount of direct losses paid for each line of insurance as shown on the 2001 Annual Statement, Texas Statutory Page 14.

**TEXAS CLOSED CLAIM RECONCILIATION
FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2001**

**TOTALS FOR ALL COMPANIES
532 COMPANIES ON FILE**

	General Liability	Commercial Auto	Commercial Multi-peril	Medical Professional	TOTAL
1. Payments Included in Quarterly Closed Claim Reports from the ATL	\$163,919,744	\$339,534,216	\$72,303,243	\$213,645,034	\$789,402,237
2. Payments reported on Annual (Summary) Closed Claim Report	\$24,793,321	\$84,080,599	\$15,578,928	\$1,211,810	\$125,664,658
3. Total Closed Claim Payments Reported	\$188,713,065	\$423,614,815	\$87,882,171	\$214,856,844	\$915,066,895
ADJUSTMENTS TO LINE 3					
4. Property damage losses paid	\$220,428,453	\$239,118,105	\$80,479,940	(\$264)	\$540,026,234
5. Other losses reported on <i>TX Statutory Page 14</i> that did not entail bodily injury	\$251,903,409	\$36,101,621	\$16,220,517	\$5,181,800	\$309,407,347
6. Payments on claims not closed in calendar year 2001	\$146,989,274	\$112,173,061	\$36,619,834	\$77,918,420	\$373,700,589
7. Payments made prior to Jan. 1 on claims closed during the year 2001	(\$82,628,403)	(\$81,383,085)	(\$17,801,937)	(\$50,466,429)	(\$232,279,854)
8. Excess coverage payments not reportable on Quarterly Closed Claim Reports	\$264,320,057	\$17,968,915	\$9,373,106	\$10,558,242	\$302,220,320
9. Losses paid on claims not settled under Texas law	\$120,339,369	\$66,240,861	\$25,011,989	\$15,244,052	\$226,836,271
10. Payments on claims reported on policies written in another state	(\$41,431,075)	(\$38,832,614)	(\$14,272,800)	(\$6,741,435)	(\$101,277,924)
11. Payments of \$10,000 or less that were reported on Quarterly reports	(\$23,500)	(\$161,304)	(\$136,511)	\$0	(\$321,315)
12. Reimbursements received	(\$39,692,451)	(\$18,627,379)	(\$1,664,288)	(\$2,782,413)	(\$62,766,531)
13. Rounding and Statistical Adjustments	\$10,170,385	\$5,854,011	\$3,598,905	(\$3,064,434)	\$16,558,867
14. Unusual Circumstances	\$3,330,132	\$534,982	\$50,105	\$0	\$3,915,219
15. Unreconciled amounts	(\$194,768,582)	(\$2,647,392)	(\$1,523,431)	\$2,251,284	(\$196,688,121)
16. Payments for claims on the Closed Claim Report of Unaccepted Transactions	\$1,290,562	\$2,312,196	\$490,000	\$3,689,020	\$7,781,778
17. Closed Claim subtractions	(\$50,043,818)	(\$47,072,535)	(\$20,536,353)	(\$11,825,930)	(\$129,478,636)
18. Closed Claim additions	\$24,782,844	\$33,204,772	\$32,930,592	\$20,021,413	\$110,939,621
19. Late Quarterly Closed Claim Reports (Filed after July 2002)	\$18,532,223	\$41,536,467	\$11,870,042	\$15,973,095	\$87,911,827
20. Sum of lines 3 through 19, (Must equal line 21)	\$842,211,944	\$789,935,497	\$248,591,881	\$290,813,265	\$2,171,552,587
21. Annual Statement Texas Statutory Page 14, DIRECT LOSSES PAID	\$842,211,944	\$789,935,497	\$248,591,881	\$290,813,265	\$2,171,552,587

Additional Information

The data used for developing this report is available electronically upon request from the Department or can be downloaded from TDI's website.

Visit TDI's website at www.tdi.state.tx.us.

Submit written data requests to:

Texas Department of Insurance
ATTN: Vicky Knox
Data Services Division – MC 105-5D
P.O. Box 149104
Austin, TX 78714-9104
(512) 475-1878

e-mail: Vicky.Knox@tdi.state.tx.us